

Grid Code Alternative and Workgroup Vote

GC0174: Review of obligations to provide EU Transparency Availability Data as specified in OC2.4.7

Please note: To participate in any votes, Workgroup members need to have attended at least 50% of meetings.

Stage 1 – Alternative Vote

If Workgroup Alternative Requests have been made, vote on whether they should become Workgroup Alternative Grid Code Modifications (WAGCMs).

Stage 2 – Workgroup Vote

2a) Assess the Original and WAGCMs (if there are any) against the Grid Code objectives compared to the baseline (the current Grid Code).

2b) Vote on which of the options is best.

Terms used in this document

Term	Meaning
Baseline	The current Grid Code (if voting for the Baseline, you believe no modification should be made)
Original	The solution which was firstly proposed by the Proposer of the modification
WAGCM	Workgroup Alternative Grid Code Modification (an Alternative Solution which has been developed by the Workgroup)

For reference the Applicable Grid Code Objectives are:

Public

- i. *To permit the development, maintenance and operation of an efficient, coordinated and economical system for the transmission of electricity*
- ii. *Facilitating effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity (and without limiting the foregoing, to facilitate the national electricity transmission system being made available to persons authorised to supply or generate electricity on terms which neither prevent nor restrict competition in the supply or generation of electricity);*
- iii. *Subject to sub-paragraphs (i) and (ii), to promote the security and efficiency of the electricity generation, transmission and distribution systems in the national electricity transmission system operator area taken as a whole;*
- iv. *To efficiently discharge the obligations imposed upon the licensee by this license* and to comply with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency; and*
- v. *To promote efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Grid Code arrangements*

* See Electricity System Operator Licence

Workgroup Vote

Stage 1 – Alternative Vote

No Alternatives were raised for this modification.

Stage 2a – Assessment against objectives

To assess the Original and WAGCMs against the Grid Code objectives compared to the baseline (the current Grid Code).

You will also be asked to provide a statement to be added to the Workgroup Report alongside your vote to assist the reader in understanding the rationale for your vote.

AGCO = Applicable Grid Code Objective



Workgroup Member	Better facilitates AGCO (i)	Better facilitates AGCO (ii)	Better facilitates AGCO (iii)	Better facilitates AGCO (iv)	Better facilitates AGCO (v)	Overall (Y/N)
	Frank Kasibante – NESO					
Original	Y	-	Y	Y	-	Y
Voting Statement: The proposed changes could improve efficiencies for impacted Users and remove duplication where data is already submitted via a separate process.						

Workgroup Member	Better facilitates AGCO (i)	Better facilitates AGCO (ii)	Better facilitates AGCO (iii)	Better facilitates AGCO (iv)	Better facilitates AGCO (v)	Overall (Y/N)
	Paul Youngman – Drax					
Original	Y	-	-	Y	-	Y
Voting Statement: This change better facilitates Grid code applicable objectives (i) and (iv) then maintaining baseline arrangements. Against (i) it provides additional clarity that generators and other parties need to maintain their obligations to provide data even if other parties obligations have changed. In this respect the change highlights that retained law provisions and the method of reporting have been maintained. Against (iv) it clarifies that obligations in relation to both the ETR and REMIT data provision still exist for Generators despite changes to some onward reporting processes by third parties.						

Workgroup Member	Better facilitates AGCO (i)	Better facilitates AGCO (ii)	Better facilitates AGCO (iii)	Better facilitates AGCO (iv)	Better facilitates AGCO (v)	Overall (Y/N)
	Robert Longden – Cornwall Insight					
Original	Y	-	-	Y	Y	Y

Voting Statement:

The Modification will improve efficiency and clarity in the operation of the Grid Code as it clearly sets out the hierarchy, reporting requirements and obligations to provide EU Transparency Availability Data as specified in OC2.4.7 – unlike the current arrangements which are open to interpretation/confusion.

Of the 3 votes, how many voters said this option was better than the Baseline.

Option	Number of voters that voted this option as better than the Baseline
Original	3

Stage 2b – Workgroup Vote

Which option is the best? (Baseline or Proposer solution (Original Proposal))

Workgroup Member	Company	Industry Sector	BEST Option?	Which objective(s) does the change better facilitate? (if baseline not applicable)
Frank Kasibante	NESO	System Operator	Original	i) iii) iv)
Paul Youngman	Drax	Generator and Supplier	Original	i) iv)
Robert Longden	Cornwall Insight	Consultant	Original	i) iv) v)